

Comments

Open market development needs to be very carefully monitored

In the right place not just where landowner can make a quick buck. We already have identified The village infrastructure could not sustain open market developments. Work would require work travel due to lack of public services. The county road network could not cope with significant Planning should be for main residential use only. No holiday lets/2nd homes.

If additional housing is proposed what will happen with the school. It is already full. How will it Interested in small family homes - seems to be a gap between bungalows and very large houses. Without new provision the younger generation will not be able to live in Clayhidon and it will Hemyock has had more than enough developments. Roads and infrastructure are not sufficient for There can be little or no need for additional housing in Hemyock, when so many properties are for sale, of all sizes not just large properties. Why cannot the CLT buy these for 'affordable' houses instead of building new ones on green field sites? It wouldn't be so bad for any new CLT sites to There is an urgent need to improve local infrastructure - Sewers, roads, schools, doctors surgeries to be able to cope with increase in population

Can the surgey, school, waste/sewage systems cope with any increase in housing??

Housing should be evenly spread across the district. Hemyock needs more facilities & infrastructure first before any more piecemeal development

The last development in Clayhidon which included a so call affordable house left that house unsold and unoccupied for years because the price was way too high. Why make the same mistakes

More housing would ruin Hemyock school. Hill surgery struggling & traffic far to heavy

The infrastructure cannot support any more housing

There is need for affordable rental property in Hemyock

The minimum number of open market homes to support affordable housing homes

Good to build houses but the roads and services need improving too. Roads especially too narrow

Parish Council need to ensure that infrastructure keeps pace with development

The ratio of open market homes to affordable homes is too high, it should be nearer 50/50

Too many houses for the roads, not enough parking, not enough Drs.& nurses, the ones we have

You need to increase the local amenities before you build more houses here

There is not enough infrastructure in the village to support more housing, roads - in particular Housing levels are adequate, village only i.e. more homes equates to turning area into a town- not Through over-regulation, punitive taxation & labyrinthine planning policies government creates housing problems (not to mention family disintegration and mass immigration) and then govt seeks Although there might be a need for more housing - the road systems & infrastructure cannot cope Sewerage problem still not sorted out in Longmead.

Any development has to take into account the infrastructure and community of the village and not

We would fully support if "local" people benefitted; however the last development and council housing is given to people from outside the parish or local people who already had adequate housing. The sewage system cannot deal with much more. Expensive houses sit empty on Station

There is a need for affordable housing. The % of any new development should be 30-35% open market and 65-70% for affordable houses to help young families on the housing ladder. Hemyock need to be very careful in expansion plans. It is becoming a dormitory village - people live here

Look, if more housing comes in, the infrastructure (road, sewage, water draining) and how it affects the existing housing, needs more thinking through... not enough is done when housing (Churchills for example) was built in the past. We are having drainage repercussions from this.

Would need more resources before building more houses. 1 shop and 1 pub is not adequate to Village is large enough as it is for the present infrastructures.

Roads not up to anymore traffic, each home = at least 2 cars.

I think the provision of a residential/care home would be welcomed, since it could free up other Hemyock has had too much large scale development in recent years - I would support small scale In the 20+ years I have lived here it has grown sadly to more than the little village I loved.

We feel with the planned housing development for off of the Culmstock Rd x 2 sites, will push the village infrastructure beyond its means eg Drs, school etc.

A care home, therefore releasing properties for sale or rent. More importantly, elderly folk can stay in the village with access to friends, churches and clubs etc.

Infrastructure and school is not able to sustain much more housing.; the roads are too narrow. Smaller housing/bungalows - for young and old persons.

The majority of the village do not want more homes. Road access is very poor and their requests are always ignored. Majority of people do not vote now because they are not listened to.

Until infrastructure is improved ie roads, sewage and primary school capacity there should be no Depends on scale, location, contributions to local infrastructure.

I feel there is enough housing in the village. Money should be spent on the infrastructure.

NO MORE HOUSES !! Amenities and infrastructure WILL NOT SUPPORT this.

The last attempt at so called affordable housing in this village was a disaster.

Houses keep being approved but many families are unable to afford £200K houses. Houses being Enough is Enough!

Small housing developments on outskirts of village suit the village far better than the recent large developer plans near cemetery. Too big!!

Need for low cost housing for (Council) younger people, to encourage them to remain in the area. Apart from very small scale developments any more building would alter Hemyock from a village environment to a small town, without suitable road links.

Too many houses being built - roads, doctors, schools cannot cope - ruin of countryside.

A proper long-term vision is required (eg re-position the school, shops and surgery plus a relief road joining lower station road to Culmstock road)

We do not need any more houses in Hemyock; it's getting spoilt.

STOP building until highways makes both routes out of Hemyock a lot more accessible (ideal for a horse & cart when road first built!) Hemyock is getting too big and should stay the same unless the roads are made better for all the traffic to get in and out and cars are not allowed to park on the main route through the village (especially around the bend by the pub) and also opposite bowling club and following the bus through to Culmstock in morning is a right pain (especially as there is

If the villages expand they need better transport and more employment opportunities.

Keen to support any affordable housing needs. Question 9a - do not offer alternative option if a housing need is identified - as it almost certainly will.

I have not answered 9b as I think controlled development for families could be positive.

The village is big enough! It does NOT need any further development.

When I bought my house 33.5 years ago they said no more. The village has probably grown by a Don't ruin our village by building more houses. We don't want them; traffic will be chaotic.

We have enough here, no more houses please. Traffic is dangerous.

I support affordable homes for local people only

Affordable housing is key to sustaining young families in the parish; without this the population will become elderly and stagnate. Please look into the rent plus scheme.

All dependant on where development takes place - should avoid building on "green land"

Further housing developments inappropriate, due to lack of infrastructure i.e. roads, jobs,

We would support a housing development with a higher percentage of 'affordable' homes and a

The infrastructure of the village needs to be addressed before any more housing developments

Definite need for affordable housing in Hemyock; only concern is size of school and doctors'

Think more bungalows are needed for the older generation.

The village can't cope with traffic, doctors and schools now, without building any more houses -

Just try getting through the village any time of day. If the village was kept for local people instead

Never kept to local people. All development sites appear to be in the hands of one company;

What's the connecton ??? West of England Development / Heather Stallard

I think it is important to consider the size of the school. More houses = more children for the

The village of Hemyock has grown beyond the capacity of its infrastructure (school, sewerage,

Bungalows are badly needed. Flats are inappropriate for elderly or infirm people.

It would depend on the scheme and how intrusive it is and what infrastructure changes were made

Its not suitable to grow any more with the present services including roads, sewerage, school etc.

Is there sufficient infrastrucutre to support new housing eg schools, sewage treatment works, road improvements and community benefits such as new play areas?

Infrastructure cannot cope with any more 'open market' homes in Hemyock eg school, surgery, roads and sewerage. SMALL developments with affordable homes for local families only please.

Is the infrastrucutre (bus, shops, roads, school etc) able to cope with even more houses in

The last "affordable" home in the village was NOT within the price range of most local people; did not seem to take into account lower wages of people. ONLY NEED AFFORDABLE HOMES - enough

other housing available; last time development in area by pub utter shambles. All properties should have been affordable not luxury! (NB only able to own home because family member died.

Parking, roads and footpaths should be included in the 'Housing' and need to be upgraded as necessary. (See how 'Churchills' is clogged up with cars - bad planning!)

This is a farming community. I would fight hard against yet more land being used for development

this is a rural area of beauty - AONB- . 9a 65-70% the development would be sold to incomers -

There was a house for sale for at least 3 years on the new development by the Half Moon - without

We have a disabled son, mentally not physically and we desperately need a 3 bed.

Hemyock is being overwhelmed by new buildings. Please do not let our village deteriorate into a

Would only support new housing on a small to mediuum scale - roads not suitable for large amounts

We are a village not a town.

The service infrastructure in Hemyock cannot support continued property development and there

There is already too much housing in Hemyock; it has lost it's village feel and I'm sorry but they need to save like I had to to get a house.

Imperative to offer low-cost housing to residents to village community alive.

Clayhiddon is a scattered rural community rather than a village.

We need 1/2/3 bedroom bungalows

There are not enough bungalows for people of retirement age being built.

I don't think the village (Hemyock) has the infrastructure to support a large development.

Infrastructure? Restricted access? Level of traffic coming through?

We feel that the village cannot support extra housing unless the infrastructure improves e.g. drainage, sewerage, schools and width of roads.

Before more houses are built, things like the school, doctors, sewage system and road

The village infrastructure is close to becoming inadequate. Road maintenance is poor, schools, doctors' surgery etc, appear at full capacity. This all needs addressing before new housing is

Too many developments ruin amenity value, causing traffic problems in village and surroundings

More bungalows needed

Hemyock has grown big enough already. There's enough traffic going out/coming in on the one

No more housing should be allowed without providing a decent road into the village

The village at the moment is outgrowing the amenities available i.e. surgery, shops etc.

The village is the right population size at present. Further growth would put too much additional strain on the medical centre, school, roads and sewerage.

Q7 - I would suggest the independence of this Trust; it appears too closely linked to the Parish Council (sharing directors/councillors) to be totally unbiased. Q9a - Poor question; I support affordable housing but NOT with 65-70% open market. A poor survey - leading questions. Whilst affordable housing may be required it should NOT come with sweeteners to the developer of

Part 3 - sorry we have found aspects of part 3 of the survey too sensitive to fill in. We will not be looking for an "affordable home" when we move. However, we would welcome any moves that would enable us to buy a family home for less than £350,000. House prices are very high in the

I would prefer the village to grow organically with limited affordable and open market housing

Additional housing would put an even further strain on Hemyock's already over crowded school and doctors' surgery (long wait for an appointment), which are both already up to capacity. Also,

would create extra traffic which our country roads are already struggling to cope with? It seems that Hemyock always gets chosen for development. Suggest look at other surrounding villages

The form could be designed using a more easy access and style which would likely increase

response rate. PS can we have a café in the village please, and could the shops stock larger and

MDDC have been too strict on planning in Clayhidon, a small grouping built lower on the hillside with reasonable road access would be advantageous to the community.

The village has grown fast over the last 4-5 years. If it carries on the same rate, it won't be 'a

House building, if necessary, should prioritise 'infill' and consist primarily of 2, 3 & 4 bedroom

I do not think that there is enough need to build any large scale housing developments in this

village - only very small scale projects that only served the local community should be considered.

Affordable homes should be for local people only. There are very few jobs in Hemyock so people without local connections need cars and therefore traffic problems increase.

Need to be kept local.

Too many - no more open market

Unless the infrastructure and services are improved, including a bigger school, I would not support

Should be a higher percentage of low cost houses.

Too cramped in on exit of village towards Culmstock

We already have too many houses for the current services available

Would like to see old housing sites being used NOT green farmland.

Hemyock only needs affordable housing for 'local' families

No further development in Hemyock until infrastructure capacity is addressed (roads, schools, medical, sewage, employment) However AONB needs to be retained.

The village is overflowing now. Surgery is full, so is the school. New housing should be kept at the

We wouldn't like to see too many more houses built in the village as it feels as though it's at I live in Symons Burrow and the road is a death trap with many not observing the speed limit. The increase in traffic in the last 5 years has made life unbearable - no more houses!

We don't need more homes, just less people! Think of the benefits to NHS, housing and transport. The infrastructure i.e. schools, sewers, surgery - is not in place to support anymore housing.

Affordable Housing Development should mean 100% of properties are affordable

The village of Hemyock is becoming too big - a strain on facilities. The doctors' surgery is much

Would only support more housing if accompanied by suitable development of infrastructure

Until the roads into and around the village have been greatly improved. More housing should not

Would like starter homes for 1st time buyers over the age of 40.

We should be allowed to offer affordable housing to those younger people who are currently

Roads, doctors' surgery/school/buses/ parking all getting full or lacking, more houses would make

Infrastructure must be upgraded to cope - before new houses are built

Need more housing for young families

This has got to be measured against the size of school and practice (ie surgery) and should be

The infrastructure can't support many more homes, especially with primary school age children.

Support housing only within the limits and amenities and services and trying to avoid green sites.

Depends on scale, location, suitability etc.

Hemyock is overdeveloped with housing resulting in lack of infrastructure to support them. GP

surgery overrun, school overrun, too many cars parked everywhere resulting in dangerous

situations for pedestrians, also too many vehicles through village and thundering along narrow

Although I cannot think of an immediate example, I think it is difficult for young people who

would like to remain in the area to be able to afford a house locally.

Houses built in village should be for the people from the village and surrounding area only.

People don't just need houses, they need adequate schools, surgery, transportation, work etc.

There are far too many new houses. The roads can't cope now; public transport inadequate.

The infrastructure will not support further housing; it never seems to be considered when new

Too many planned for future; affect school/roads/doctors

The infrastructure cannot support any more homes . In the 6 years I've lived in Hemyock the

essence of a 'born & bred' farming community has diminished quite a lot. Council housing should

be available to 'local' people'. As always, DCC, MDDC and Parish Councils consider 'older people'

before young people who are local but none of this survey or representation or consultation will

65-70% open market homes is far too high. I would support a development with more than half

Greater detail required of 9 a & b

New housing brings up the perennial issue of services required to keep up with a rising population.

In particular - the sewage works and the school. This is never addressed satisfactorily and both

Too much new building in Hemyock

There are too many houses currently on the market which have been for several months.

Affordable homes/apartments to help the young people of the village remain close to family